

|                                                                                   |                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>EASA</b>                                                                       | <b>COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT</b>                                                                                      |
|  | <b>EASA PAD No. 11-075</b><br><b>[Published on 21 July 2011 and officially closed for comments on 18 August 2011]</b> |

**Commenter 1: Air Malta – Joseph V. Farrugia – 25/07/2011**

**Comment # 1**

Our Ref: KM/ENG/DEV/1880

Ref /1/: EASA PAD 11-075

Ref /2/: Airbus SB A320-35A1047

Ref /3/: Airbus SIL 35-007 R4

ATA: 35

RR: 05

Background:

Ref /1/ EASA proposed AD and ref /2/ Airbus SB state that the actions required on the same PAD and SB are on BE Aerospace oxygen containers. Airbus ref /3/ SIL 35-007 indicates that the PNs mentioned on the PAD and SB are Draeger PNs and not BE Aerospace.

Query:

1) Pls confirm whether the PAD (and hence SB) require an update to change the manufacturer from “BE Aerospace” to “Draeger”.

**EASA response:**

***EASA confirms that this AD is applicable to B/E Aerospace Containers. The affected containers have been proposed in the B/E Aerospace catalogue as a result of the take-over of Draeger Aerospace by B/E Aerospace. The new company is known today as B/E Aerospace, and the affected containers, which consist of an Oxygen Container housing (container, mask assembly, door lid and door latch) as designed previously by B/E Aerospace, in combination with a chemical oxygen generator as designed previously by Draeger Aerospace, are marked B/E Aerospace.***

***No changes have been made to the Final AD in response to this comment.***

**Commenter 2: Airbus – Konstantinos Sideris – 18/08/2011****Comment # 1**

By defining the effectivity only with the SB, aircraft could be missed. Indeed, the SB effectivity is defined as per the aircraft delivery configuration, however, as these containers are interchangeable with older containers, there is a risk that a swap of containers have occurred and then, the AD would not be efficient to remove definitely the issue.

Airbus propose to remove from paragraph (1) the sentence "For aeroplanes, listed by Model and Manufacturer Serial Numbers (MSN) in Airbus Service Bulletin (SB) A320-35A1047,..."

and add a paragraph giving credit to the reworked MSN before delivery:

"Aeroplanes that have been delivered with Airbus modification 150703 or Airbus modification 150704 embodied in production with MSN not listed in AIRBUS A320-35A1047 are compliant with the requirement (1) and (2) of the AD providing that no oxygen containers have been replaced prior the effective date of the AD."

**EASA response:**

***Comment accepted. The Final AD has been amended accordingly.***